

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/618,636	MACNEIL ET AL.
	Examiner Thanhha Pham	Art Unit 2813

All Participants:	Status of Application: _____
(1) <u>Thanhha Pham</u> .	(3) _____.
(2) <u>Adam, Valentine</u> .	(4) _____.
Date of Interview: <u>27 November 2006</u>	Time: _____
Type of Interview:	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
If Yes, provide a brief description:	
Part I.	
Rejection(s) discussed:	
Claims discussed:	
23, 25-26 and 28-32	
Prior art documents discussed:	
<i>Chooi et al</i>	
Part II.	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:	
<i>Examiner suggests to change limitation of "generally equal dielectric constants of less than 3" to "generally equal dielectric constants of approximately 2.6" to overcome recorded prior art and discuss about duplicate claims 31-32</i>	
Part III.	
<input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)